

Identifying the Features of Purine dNTPs that Allow Accurate and Efficient DNA Replication by Herpes Simplex Virus I DNA Polymerase[†]

Nisha A. Cavanaugh,[‡] Milan Urban,[‡] Jeffrey Beckman,[‡] Thomas E. Spratt,[§] and Robert D. Kuchta^{*,‡}

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Pennsylvania State College of Medicine, Hershey, Pennsylvania 17033

Received December 4, 2008; Revised Manuscript Received January 20, 2009

ABSTRACT: To accurately replicate its viral genome, the Herpes Simplex Virus 1 (HSV-1) DNA polymerase usually polymerizes the correct natural 2'-deoxy-5'-triphosphate (dNTP) opposite the template base being replicated. We employed a series of purine–dNTP analogues to determine the chemical features of the base necessary for the herpes polymerase to avoid polymerizing incorrect dNTPs. The enzyme uses N-3 to prevent misincorporation of purine dNTPs but does not require N-3 for correct polymerization. A free pair of electrons on N-1 also helps prevent misincorporation opposite A, C, and G and strongly drives polymerization opposite T. N⁶ contributes a small amount both for preventing misincorporation and for correct polymerization. Within the context of guanine in either the incoming dNTP or the template base being replicated, N² prevents misincorporation opposite adenine but plays at most a minor role for incorporation opposite C. In contrast, adding N² to the dNTPs of either adenine, purine, 6-chloropurine, or 1-deazapurine greatly enhances incorporation opposite C, likely via the formation of a hydrogen bond between N² of the purine and O² of the pyrimidine. Herpes polymerase is very sensitive to the structure of the base pair at the primer 3'-terminus since eliminating N-1, N-3, or N⁶ from a purine nucleotide at the primer 3'-terminus interfered with polymerization of the next two dNTPs. The biological and evolutionary implications of these data are discussed.

The Herpes Simplex Virus 1 (HSV-1) DNA polymerase is essential for viral replication and shows significant

homology to other polymerases in the *Herpesviridae* family. The polymerase complex consists of two subunits: UL30, the subunit possessing the polymerase activity as well as a 3'-5' proofreading exonuclease activity, and UL42, an accessory factor that increases the processivity of the polymerase by tethering the UL30 subunit directly to the DNA (1, 2). Both origin-dependent DNA synthesis and productive viral replication require this two-subunit enzyme (3–5). The herpes polymerase misincorporates dNTPs once every 10³–10⁴ nucleotides polymerized (6–8). This frequency is at the low end typically observed for replicative polymerases such that the polymerase appears to rely heavily on its associated exonuclease activity to accurately replicate DNA (9, 10).

A key issue regarding DNA polymerases is how they avoid misincorporating dNTPs. Several theories have been proposed to explain the mechanism of polymerase fidelity including hydrogen bonding to form the appropriate base pair, shape and size of the nucleotides to fit the active site and the use of specific chemical features to prevent misincorporation and enhance correct incorporation (11, 12). Insights into the various models have come from studies using a variety of approaches, including structural studies, protein mutagenesis, and substrate mutagenesis (13–15). Even with these different data, however, the precise mechanisms used by any one polymerase to minimize polymerization of incorrect dNTPs have yet to be completely clarified. Moreover, polymerases from different

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: kuchta@colorado.edu. Phone: 303-492-7027. Fax: 303-492-5894.

[†] This work was supported by National Institutes of Health, Grant AI059764 (R.D.K.).

[‡] University of Colorado.

[§] Pennsylvania State College of Medicine.

¹ Abbreviations: d1DTP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(1-deazaadenine)-5'-triphosphate; d1DTP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(1-deazapurine)-5'-triphosphate; d3DTP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(3-deazaadenine)-5'-triphosphate; d3DTP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(3-deazaguanine)-5'-triphosphate; d3DTP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(3-deazapurine)-5'-triphosphate; dBTP, 1-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(benzimidazole)-5'-triphosphate; dNTP, natural 2'-deoxy-5'-triphosphate; dPPT, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(purine)-5'-triphosphate; dQTP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(1-deaza-6-methylpurine)-5'-triphosphate; dZTP, 1-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(4-methylbenzimidazole)-5'-triphosphate; d4CF₃BTP, 1-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(4-trifluoromethylbenzimidazole)-5'-triphosphate; d5CF₃BTP, 1-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(5-trifluoromethylbenzimidazole)-5'-triphosphate; d6CF₃BTP, 1-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(6-trifluoromethylbenzimidazole)-5'-triphosphate; d7CF₃BTP, 1-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(7-trifluoromethylbenzimidazole)-5'-triphosphate; d6MPTP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(6-methylpurine)-5'-triphosphate; d6CIPTP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(6-chloropurine)-5'-triphosphate; d2AmATP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(2-aminoadenine)-5'-triphosphate; d2AmPTP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(2-aminopurine)-5'-triphosphate; d2Am6CIPTP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(2-amino-6-chloropurine)-5'-triphosphate; d2Am1DTP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(2-amino-1-deazapurine)-5'-triphosphate; dITP, 9-β-D-2'-deoxyribofuranosyl-(hypoxanthine)-5'-triphosphate; HSV-1, Herpes Simplex Virus 1; pol α, DNA polymerase α; exo[−], exonuclease deficient; Tris-HCl, Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane; DTT, dithiothreitol; BSA, bovine serum albumin; and EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid.




FIGURE 1: Structures of the bases and designated abbreviations. The numbering systems for a benzimidazole and a purine (6-methyl-1-deazapurine) are shown.

evolutionary families clearly use different mechanisms for choosing a correct (d)NTP (8, 15, 16).

Herpes polymerase is a B family polymerase, as are the replicative eukaryotic polymerases α , δ , and ϵ , DNA polymerases from T4 and RB69 viruses, among others (17, 18). All of these enzymes contain a remarkably highly conserved P helix, related to the O helix in the A family polymerases, whose amino acids surround the incipient base pair between the incoming dNTP and the template being replicated (19).

Previously, we elaborated on the rules employed by human polymerase α (pol α) to ensure accurate incorporation of dATP followed by polymerization of additional dNTPs. To minimize the frequency with which pol α incorporates an incorrect dNTP followed by additional nucleotides, this enzyme uses two orthogonal screens. During selection for either correct or incorrect polymerization of dATP, pol α uses N-1 and N-3 to prevent misincorporation opposite A, C, and G (i.e., as negative selectors) and N-1 and N⁶ to enhance correct incorporation opposite T (i.e., as positive selectors) (20). However, to incorporate additional dNTPs onto a primer terminus, pol α monitors the shape of the base pair at the 3'-terminus (20). If the 3'-terminus of the primer/template is incorrectly shaped, pol α polymerizes further dNTPs extremely slowly compared to the rate when the 3'-terminus is correctly shaped (20).

While polymerases from different families clearly use different mechanisms, it is unclear how similar (or different) the mechanisms between different enzymes within the same evolutionary family will be. Here we investigate the screens for dNTP incorporation and extension that herpes DNA polymerase (exo⁻) utilizes. While the general mechanism of herpes polymerase and pol α appears similar, consistent with their evolutionary association, the enzymes do exhibit some significant differences. We consider the biological implications of these results.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials. All reagents were of the highest quality commercially available. Unlabeled dNTPs were purchased from Invitrogen, and radiolabeled dNTPs were purchased from Perkin-Elmer. Purine-dNTP analogues (Figure 1) were synthesized as previously described (20, 21). Synthetic DNA oligonucleotides of defined sequence were acquired from either Oligos, Etc., or Biosearch Technologies, and their concentrations were determined spectrally.

Herpes polymerase (UL30/UL42 complex, exo⁻) was expressed and purified as described previously (22).

5'-End Labeling of Primers and Annealing of Primer/Template Pairs. DNA primers were 5'-[³²P]-labeled using polynucleotide kinase and [γ -³²P] ATP, gel purified, and annealed to the appropriate template as previously described (23, 24).

Polymerization Assays. All kinetic data were obtained under steady-state conditions. Assays typically contained 1 μ M 5'-[³²P]-primer/template, 50 mM TRIS-HCl (pH 8.0), 50 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin, 5% glycerol, and varying concentrations of dNTPs or nucleotide analogues, in a total volume of 10 μ L (20, 22). Polymerization reactions were initiated by the addition of enzyme, incubated at 37 °C, and quenched by adding an equal volume of gel loading buffer (90% formamide in 1X Tris/Borate/EDTA buffer, 0.05% xylene cyanol and bromophenol blue). Products were separated by denaturing gel electrophoresis (20% acrylamide, 7.5 M urea) and analyzed by phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics). Kinetic parameters were obtained by fitting the data to the Michaelis-Menten equation using Prism software. All rates were normalized to the same final enzyme concentration of 1 nM. The reported discrimination factor (DF) values were determined by comparing the efficiency of incorporation for

Table 1: DNA Primer-Templates

DNA _A	5' — TCCATATCACAT — 3' 3' — AGGTATAGTGTA <u>A</u> TTCTTATCATCT — 5'
DNA _T	5' — TCCATATCACAT — 3' 3' — AGGTATAGTGTA <u>T</u> TTCTTATCATCT — 5'
DNA _{T2}	5' — TCCATATCACAT — 3' 3' — AGGTATAGTGTA <u>T</u> AGCTTATCATCT — 5'
DNA _C	5' — TCCATATCACAT — 3' 3' — AGGTATAGTGTA <u>C</u> TTCTTATCATCT — 5'
DNA _G	5' — TCCATATCACAT — 3' 3' — AGGTATAGTGTA <u>G</u> TTCTTATCATCT — 5'
DNA _I	5' — TCCATATCACAT — 3' 3' — AGGTATAGTGTA <u>I</u> TTCTTATCATCT — 5'

the analogue (V_{\max}/K_m) to the efficiency of incorporation for the correct dNTP (V_{\max}/K_m normalized to 1).

Polymerization of Additional dNTPs after the Incorporation of a Normal or Analogue Nucleotide. Elongation reactions contained the analogue triphosphate, at a fixed concentration $\gg K_m$, and increasing concentrations of the next correct dNTP to be polymerized (i.e., TTP for DNA_{C,G,T} and dATP for DNA_A) and were quantitated using the running start methodology developed by Goodman and co-workers (25). The steady-state kinetic parameters were calculated using Molecular Dynamics and Prism software as described above. The DF values were determined by comparing the efficiency of polymerization after the analogue (V_{\max}/K_m) to the efficiency of polymerization after the correct dNTP (V_{\max}/K_m , normalized to 1). Polymerization of the second correct dNTP was measured similarly, except assays now contained the analogue triphosphate at a fixed concentration $\gg K_m$, the next correct dNTP at a fixed concentration $\gg K_m$, and increasing concentrations of the second correct dNTP.

RESULTS

We examined polymerization of the dNTP analogues shown in Figure 1 by the herpes DNA polymerase (UL30/UL42 complex) using primer-templates of defined sequence (Table 1). The enzyme lacked exonuclease activity, and hence different rates reflect changes in the polymerization activity. Similar to previous studies showing removal of N-1, N-3, and N⁶ from adenine resulted in dNTPs that pol α rapidly incorporated opposite all four natural template bases (20), herpes polymerase incorporated benzimidazole- and 4-methylbenzimidazole-dNTP opposite all four natural template bases much more rapidly than the enzyme misincorporated a natural dNTP (Tables 2 and 3).²

Shape Influence on the Rate of Unnatural dNTP Incorporation. To explore the role, if any, that base shape plays during the polymerization reaction, we compared polymer-

ization of 4-, 5-, 6-, and 7-trifluoromethylbenzimidazole dNTPs. These four different bases have similar hydrophobicities and, therefore, should have similar stacking abilities (12, 20), thus minimizing this potentially confounding variable. Table 3 shows that herpes polymerase incorporates these four dNTPs with very different efficiencies depending on the location of the trifluoromethyl group in the six-membered ring. While herpes polymerase tolerated (<2-fold average decrease in incorporation efficiency compared to benzimidazole dNTP) the trifluoromethyl group at the 5- and 6-positions of benzimidazole well (i.e., on the Watson–Crick hydrogen bonding face of the base), a trifluoromethyl group at the 4-position was less favored (efficiency decreased an average of 11-fold opposite all four DNA templates compared to benzimidazole, Table 3 and Figure 2). Notably, the enzyme very strongly discriminated against 7-trifluoromethylbenzimidazole dNTP (efficiency decreased an average of 64-fold), indicating that herpes polymerase does not effectively tolerate a trifluoromethyl group in the minor groove of the incipient base pair. Furthermore, since this set of bases all have similar hydrophobicity, these results indicate that hydrophobicity/stacking ability alone cannot account for the rapid polymerization of the 5- and 6-trifluoromethylbenzimidazole dNTPs. To elucidate why the polymerase misincorporates dNTPs containing base analogues such as benzimidazole so rapidly but not the canonical dNTPs such as dATP, we systematically examined the roles of N-1, N-3, and N⁶, the three nitrogens that distinguish benzimidazole and adenine (Figure 1).





Herpes Polymerase Use of N-3 of a Purine to Identify Incoming dNTPs as Incorrect. First, we examined the effect of removing N-3 from two high-fidelity bases, adenine and guanine. Herpes polymerase incorporated 3-deazaadenine dNTP and 3-deazaguanine dNTP opposite the correct template bases (T and C, respectively), almost identically to dATP and dGTP (2.2- and 1.1-fold less efficiently, Table 4). Thus, herpes polymerase clearly does not require N-3 of a purine for efficient, correct polymerization. Similarly, 3-deazapurine dNTP was incorporated only 2.6-fold slower opposite a template T as compared to purine dNTP.

Whereas N-3 does not play an important role during correct dNTP incorporation, it performs a critical function to help prevent misincorporation. Removing N-3 from dGTP significantly increased misincorporation opposite both A and G (Table 4, 7-fold and 30-fold, respectively), with the average misincorporation frequency opposite A, C, and G increasing 4.4-fold (Figure 3). Removing N-3 from purine dNTP and dATP had much smaller effects on misincorporation than the effects on dGTP, in most cases <2-fold increases or decreases in misincorporation efficiency. The only notable change was the 5.5-fold increase in 3-deazapurine dNTP misincorporation opposite G as compared to purine dNTP.

Adding the equivalent of purine N-3 to “low-fidelity bases” such as benzimidazole and 4-methylbenzimidazole dramatically reduces misincorporation by the herpes polymerase. Adding N-3 to benzimidazole- and 4-methylbenzimidazole-dNTP, thereby generating 1-deazapurine- and 1-deaza-6-methylpurine-dNTPs, respectively, decreased their misincorporation opposite all four natural bases by an average of 30-fold (Tables 3 and 4 and Figure 3). Thus, N-3 helps prevent inappropriate dNTP polymerization in multiple

² For all of these studies, we have compared the specificity parameter, V_{\max}/K_m , to understand how changing the structure of a base affects polymerization. Because herpes polymerase is a processive polymerase, it is not possible to directly compare the V_{\max} and K_m values. The rate-limiting step during steady-state turnover is dissociation of the product DNA from the E-DNA complex. Consequently, V_{\max} values for correct dNTPs will reflect the DNA dissociation rate and not phosphodiester bond formation. Furthermore, the existence of a slow step after chemistry will also result in the K_d and K_m for dNTPs likely being very different. In contrast, this may or may not be the case for the analogues, thereby obviating the possibility of comparing these rates in a mechanistic sense.

Table 2: Polymerization of Natural dNTPs

dNTP	Base Structure	DNA _N	V _{max} (SD)	K _m (SD)	V _{max} /K _m	Discrimination ^a
dATP		DNA _T	2.30 (0.05)	0.49 (0.05)	4.7	1
		DNA _A	0.73 (0.04)	1500 (300)	0.00049	2700
		DNA _C	0.98 (0.02)	1100 (80)	0.00089	3300
		DNA _G	0.27 (0.01)	3600 (300)	0.000075	11000
dGTP		DNA _T	2.7 (0.1)	1400 (300)	0.0019	2500
		DNA _A	0.27 (0.04)	3100 (700)	0.000087	15000
		DNA _C	4.4 (0.7)	1.5 (0.4)	2.9	1
		DNA _G	0.55 (0.04)	1500 (200)	0.00037	2300
dCTP		DNA _T	1.1 (0.2)	4000 (900)	0.00028	3000
		DNA _A	0.59 (0.07)	2200 (400)	0.00027	3100
		DNA _C	b	b	0.0000049	>100,000
		DNA _G	0.94 (0.01)	1.1 (0.1)	0.85	1
dTTP		DNA _T	0.43 (0.03)	1800 (300)	0.00024	5400
		DNA _A	1.71 (0.06)	1.3 (0.2)	1.3	1
		DNA _C	1.4 (0.1)	1800 (400)	0.00078	1700
		DNA _G	0.57 (0.02)	1300 (90)	0.00044	3000

^a Discrimination values are defined as V_{\max}/K_m for the correct dNTP opposite that template base (i.e., dATP:T, dCTP:G, etc.) divided by V_{\max}/K_m for the noted dNTP (or dNTP analogue) opposite that template base. Thus, for example, the discrimination value for dATP opposite A would be $[V_{\max}/K_m (\text{dATP:T})]/[V_{\max}/K_m (\text{dATP:A})]$. ^b Some V_{\max} and K_m values could not be obtained from a Michaelis–Menten curve under our reaction conditions. Instead, the V_{\max}/K_m ratio was obtained from a linear plot of the kinetic data.

contexts: removing it from the natural, high fidelity bases increases misincorporation, and adding N-3 to a low fidelity, unnatural base decreases misincorporation.

N-1 Primarily Enhancing Polymerization Opposite T. We determined the effects of adding N-1 to a series of bases (i.e., converting benzimidazole to 3-deazapurine, 1-deaza-6-methylpurine to 6-methylpurine, 1-deazapurine to purine, 2-amino-1-deazapurine to 2-aminopurine, and 1-deazaadenine to adenine) and measured polymerization of each pair of dNTPs. In all five cases, N-1 will act as a hydrogen bond acceptor with the potential to form a Watson–Crick hydrogen bond with N(H)-3 of thymine. Consistent with this possibility, adding N-1 greatly enhanced polymerization opposite T (by 22- to 2 900-fold, Table 4 and Figure 4).

N-1 appears to perform a smaller role than N-3 in preventing misincorporation opposite A, C, and G. In three cases examined—benzimidazole dNTP versus 3-deazapurine dNTP, 1-deazaadenine dNTP versus dATP, and 2-amino-1-deazapurine dNTP versus 2-aminopurine dNTP—the presence of N-1 slightly enhanced the ability of herpes polymerase to prevent incorporation opposite all three template bases (by 2- to 4-fold, Table 4 and Figure 4). However, adding N-1 to 1-deazapurine dNTP and forming purine dNTP slightly increased the likelihood of misincorporation opposite A, C, and G (by 4-fold), while converting 1-deaza-6-methylpurine dNTP to 6-methylpurine dNTP had little effect on misincorporation.







N⁶ Enhancing Polymerization Opposite T and Helping Prevent Misincorporation Opposite A, C, and G. The

exocyclic amine of a purine, N⁶, can form a hydrogen bond with O⁴ of thymine. In the four cases examined (i.e., converting 1-deazapurine to 1-deazaadenine, purine to adenine, 3-deazapurine to 3-deazaadenine, and 2-aminopurine to 2-aminoadenine), herpes polymerase incorporated the aminated dNTPs 2- to 6-fold more efficiently opposite T than the analogous dNTPs lacking N⁶, consistent with this hydrogen bond acting as a positive selector for polymerization opposite T (Table 4 and Figure 5).

Comparing rates of misincorporation of each of the pairs of compounds suggests that N⁶ can also help prevent misincorporation. Addition of N⁶ in two cases (i.e., converting 3-deazapurine dNTP into 3-deazaadenine dNTP and purine dNTP into dATP) slightly increased the ability of herpes polymerase to identify the resulting dNTPs as wrong for polymerization opposite A, C, and G (by 2- to 4-fold, Table 4 and Figure 5). Interestingly, adding N⁶ in the absence of N-1 (i.e., converting 1-deazapurine dNTP into 1-deazaadenine dNTP) increased the frequency of misincorporation 3-fold opposite A, C, and G, and in the case of converting 2-aminopurine to 2-aminoadenine, N⁶ had little effect on incorporation opposite A, C, and G, suggesting that the effects of N⁶ are context dependent.

To better understand how varying the exocyclic group at C-6 affects the polymerase, we compared the effects of the slightly electron donating CH₃ (i.e., converting purine dNTP into 6-methylpurine dNTP, benzimidazole into 4-methylbenzimidazole, and 1-deazapurine dNTP into 1-deaza-6-methylpurine dNTP) and the electron withdrawing Cl

Table 3: Polymerization of Hydrophobic dNTP Analogues

dNTP	Base Structure	DNA _N	V _{max} (SD)	K _m (SD)	V _{max} /K _m	Discrimination ^a
dBTP		DNA _T	1.33 (0.07)	55 (16)	0.024	200
		DNA _A	0.99 (0.04)	97 (17)	0.010	130
		DNA _C	0.94 (0.04)	120 (20)	0.0078	370
		DNA _G	0.23 (0.01)	130 (17)	0.0018	470
dZTP		DNA _T	2.3 (0.1)	120 (20)	0.019	250
		DNA _A	0.53 (0.05)	490 (80)	0.0011	1200
		DNA _C	0.60 (0.07)	280 (70)	0.0021	1400
		DNA _G	0.078 (0.005)	290 (60)	0.00027	3100
d4CF ₃ BTP		DNA _T	1.09 (0.07)	370 (60)	0.0029	1600
		DNA _A	0.42 (0.05)	200 (60)	0.0021	620
		DNA _C	0.93 (0.11)	180 (50)	0.0052	560
		DNA _G	b	b	0.000087	9800
d5CF ₃ BTP		DNA _T	1.30 (0.07)	24 (4)	0.054	87
		DNA _A	0.17 (0.01)	26 (7)	0.0065	200
		DNA _C	0.43 (0.04)	79 (22)	0.0054	540
		DNA _G	0.150 (0.006)	290 (30)	0.00052	1600
d6CF ₃ BTP		DNA _T	1.7 (0.1)	14 (4)	0.12	39
		DNA _A	0.29 (0.03)	220 (50)	0.0013	1000
		DNA _C	0.51 (0.04)	48 (13)	0.011	260
		DNA _G	0.39 (0.04)	120 (30)	0.0031	270
d7CF ₃ BTP		DNA _T	b	b	0.00031	15000
		DNA _A	0.0045 (0.0008)	40 (21)	0.00011	12000
		DNA _C	0.0060 (0.0007)	31 (12)	0.00019	15000
		DNA _G	0.0031 (0.0009)	120 (90)	0.000026	33000

^a Discrimination values are defined as V_{\max}/K_m for the correct dNTP opposite that template base (i.e., dATP:T, dCTP:G, etc.) divided by V_{\max}/K_m for the noted dNTP (or dNTP analogue) opposite that template base. ^b Some V_{\max} and K_m values could not be obtained from a Michaelis–Menten curve under our reaction conditions. Instead, the V_{\max}/K_m ratio was obtained from a linear plot of the kinetic data.




FIGURE 2: Misincorporation frequency of benzimidazole and trifluoromethylbenzimidazole compounds. The values of the average DF (opposite all four DNA templates) are provided.

(converting purine dNTP into 6-chloropurine dNTP and 2-aminopurine dNTP into 2-amino-6-chloropurine dNTP) groups. The methyl group had minimal impact on both

correct polymerization opposite T and misincorporation opposite A, C, and G. In contrast, the chlorine significantly decreased correct polymerization opposite T (6- to 16-fold less efficiently, Table 4) but again had minimal effects on misincorporation.

Role of N². We explored the role of N² by both removing it from dGTP and adding it to a series of dATP derivatives: adenine, purine, 6-chloropurine, and 1-deazapurine dNTPs. Opposite a template T, adding N² to the adenine derivatives had relatively modest effects, ranging from a slight inhibition of polymerization for 2-aminoadenine-dNTP compared to dATP to a 4-fold increase in polymerization for 2-amino-1-deazapurine-dNTP compared to 1-deazapurine-dNTP (Figure 6). Adding N² to these bases generally decreased polymerization of the resulting aminated dNTPs opposite A and G, in some cases by rather substantial amounts: up to a 21-fold decrease in polymerization opposite a template A upon converting purine-dNTP into 2-aminopurine-dNTP. The

Table 4: Polymerization of dNTP Analogues Containing Modifications at N-1, N², N-3, and N⁶















dNTP	Base Structure	DNA _N	V _{max} (SD)	K _m (SD)	V _{max} /K _m	Discrimination ^a
d3DGTP		DNA _T	1.4 (0.2)	700 (200)	0.0020	2400
		DNA _A	0.17 (0.02)	270 (80)	0.00063	2100
		DNA _C	4.0 (0.1)	1.5 (0.2)	2.7	1.1
		DNA _G	2.3 (0.1)	190 (20)	0.012	77
d3DATP		DNA _T	2.62 (0.05)	1.2 (0.1)	2.2	2.2
		DNA _A	0.30 (0.03)	860 (180)	0.00035	3800
		DNA _C	0.68 (0.05)	1600 (200)	0.00043	6800
		DNA _G	0.24 (0.03)	1200 (300)	0.00020	4600
dPTP		DNA _T	2.98 (0.05)	2.1 (0.3)	1.4	3.4
		DNA _A	0.11 (0.01)	87 (26)	0.0013	1000
		DNA _C	0.74 (0.02)	320 (20)	0.0023	1300
		DNA _G	0.030 (0.003)	200 (50)	0.00015	6100
d3DPTP		DNA _T	4.2 (0.1)	8.0 (0.8)	0.53	8.9
		DNA _A	0.0224 (0.0003)	7.9 (0.4)	0.0028	480
		DNA _C	0.078 (0.003)	62 (6)	0.0013	2300
		DNA _G	0.0078 (0.0002)	9.2 (0.9)	0.00085	1100
d1DPTP		DNA _T	0.32 (0.02)	430 (70)	0.00074	6400
		DNA _A	0.10 (0.01)	220 (50)	0.00047	2900
		DNA _C	0.15 (0.01)	220 (60)	0.00068	4300
		DNA _G	0.0088 (0.0005)	230 (40)	0.000038	24000
d1DATP		DNA _T	1.1 (0.1)	260 (60)	0.0042	1100
		DNA _A	0.66 (0.04)	640 (70)	0.0010	1300
		DNA _C	0.41 (0.02)	520 (50)	0.00080	3800
		DNA _G	0.084 (0.004)	440 (50)	0.00020	4600
dQTP		DNA _T	b	b	0.00026	18000
		DNA _A	1.11 (0.09)	1600 (200)	0.00069	1900
		DNA _C	0.82 (0.08)	590 (120)	0.0014	2100
		DNA _G	0.069 (0.006)	720 (130)	0.000096	8900
d6MPTP		DNA _T	4.8 (0.1)	6.4 (0.5)	0.75	6.3
		DNA _A	0.11 (0.01)	96 (27)	0.0011	1100
		DNA _C	0.50 (0.08)	1100 (250)	0.00045	6400
		DNA _G	0.079 (0.008)	310 (70)	0.00025	3700
d6CIPTP		DNA _T	2.09 (0.06)	24 (2)	0.087	54
		DNA _A	0.11 (0.01)	310 (70)	0.00035	3700
		DNA _C	0.89 (0.08)	67 (15)	0.013	220
		DNA _G	0.026 (0.002)	230 (40)	0.00011	7700
d2AmATP		DNA _T	2.28 (0.05)	0.8 (0.1)	2.9	1.6
		DNA _A	b	b	0.000055	24000
		DNA _C	1.93 (0.05)	63 (8)	0.031	94
		DNA _G	0.11 (0.02)	1400 (400)	0.000079	11000

Table 4: Continued

dNTP	Base Structure	DNA _N	V _{max} (SD)	K _m (SD)	V _{max} /K _m	Discrimination ^a
d2Am1DPTP		DNA _T	2.16 (0.23)	673 (165)	0.0032	1500
		DNA _A	0.49 (0.06)	2250 (420)	0.00022	6200
		DNA _C	4.44 (0.07)	119 (7.7)	0.037	78
		DNA _G	0.42 (0.05)	2630 (470)	0.00016	5300
d2AmPTP		DNA _T	2.72 (0.10)	1.95 (0.37)	1.4	3.4
		DNA _A	b	b	0.000061	21000
		DNA _C	2.24 (0.10)	141 (20)	0.016	180
		DNA _G	b	b	0.000075	11000
d2Am6ClPTP		DNA _T	2.50 (0.05)	11.4 (0.9)	0.22	21
		DNA _A			0.00013	10000
		DNA _C	1.95 (0.08)	45 (5)	0.043	67
		DNA _G	0.11 (0.02)	1300 (300)	0.000085	10000
dITP		DNA _T	0.52 (0.06)	270 (60)	0.0019	2500
		DNA _A	0.60 (0.09)	41 (19)	0.015	87
		DNA _C	3.5 (0.1)	0.6 (0.1)	5.8	0.5
		DNA _G	0.022 (0.006)	320 (150)	0.000069	12000

^a Discrimination values are defined as V_{\max}/K_m for the correct dNTP opposite that template base (i.e., dATP:T, dCTP:G, etc.) divided by V_{\max}/K_m for the noted dNTP (or dNTP analogue) opposite that template base. ^b Some V_{\max} and K_m values could not be obtained from a Michaelis–Menten curve under our reaction conditions. Instead, the V_{\max}/K_m ratio was obtained from a linear plot of the kinetic data.




FIGURE 3: Effects of adding N-3 to low-fidelity bases and removing N-3 from high-fidelity bases. Panel A shows the effect of adding N-3 to benzimidazole and 4-methylbenzimidazole on the average DF for polymerization opposite A, T, C, and G. Panel B shows the effect of removing N-3 from guanine, adenine, and purine for misincorporation opposite either A, G, and T (dGTP/d3DGTP) or A, G, and C (dPTP/d3DPTP and dATP/d3DATP).




FIGURE 4: Effect of adding N-1 to 1-deaza-6-methylpurine and benzimidazole (panel A), and 1-deazapurine and 1-deazaadenine (panel B). The DF for polymerization opposite T and the average DF for polymerization opposite A, C, and G are shown.

most dramatic and consistent effects, however, occurred during polymerization opposite C. The addition of N² to




FIGURE 5: Effect of adding N⁶ to 1-deazapurine, 3-deazapurine, and purine. The average DFs (opposite A, C, and G) for T are shown.




FIGURE 6: Effect of adding N² to adenine, purine, and 1-deazapurine. The values of the average DFs (opposite A and G), along with those opposite T and C, are shown.

dATP, purine-dNTP, 6-chloropurine-dNTP, and 1-deazapurine-dNTP increased the efficiency of incorporation by 35-, 7-, 3-, and 55-fold, respectively (Table 4 and Figure 6). Importantly, the largest increase occurred with the one base lacking N-1, 1-deazapurine-dNTP.

While removing N² from dGTP, thereby forming dITP, had little effect during polymerization opposite T and a small effect on incorporation opposite G, it dramatically increased polymerization opposite A (>170-fold increase, Table 4). Adding N² had minimal impacts during incorporation of dGTP and dITP opposite C, in contrast to the large effects of N² during polymerization of adenine-dNTP analogues opposite C. Similarly, when inosine replaced guanine as the template base (Table 1), herpes polymerase incorporated dCTP only 1.3-fold less efficiently than with guanine as the template base (V_{\max}/K_m , data not shown). However, this replacement increased the efficiency of dATP incorporation by a factor of 80, similar to the effects on dITP versus dGTP incorporation. Thus, removing N² from guanine profoundly affects formation of the incorrect hypoxanthine-adenine base pair.

Modifying the Structure of the Base Pair at the Primer 3'-Terminus Impairs Further dNTP Polymerization. We examined the role of a base-pair structure at the primer 3'-terminus by measuring the addition of the next correct dNTP onto primer 3'-termini that consisted of either a natural, correct base pair or a modified base pair³. When the template base was T, the absence of a single Watson-Crick hydrogen

Table 5: Insertion of the Next Correct dNTP on DNA_T by Herpes Polymerase (dTTP Insertion Following Analogue X)

X in 5'-primer-X-3'	V_{\max} (SD)	K_m (SD)	V_{\max}/K_m	discrimination
adenine	20 (1)	8 (1)	2.5	1
benzimidazole	0.019 (0.003)	260 (130)	0.000073	34 000
purine	1.21 (0.05)	19 (4)	0.064	39
3-deazapurine	1.01 (0.07)	190 (50)	0.0053	470
3-deazaadenine	7.2 (0.2)	72 (9)	0.10	25
1-deazaadenine	0.25 (0.01)	13 (3)	0.019	130
6-methylpurine	2.5 (0.1)	51 (12)	0.049	51
6-chloropurine	4.6 (0.3)	460 (70)	0.010	250
2-amino-6-chloropurine	4.1 (0.4)	270 (70)	0.015	170
2-aminopurine	4.5 (0.3)	33 (8)	0.14	18
2-aminoadenine	3.0 (0.1)	9 (2)	0.33	7.6

bond, either at N-1 or N⁶, impaired polymerization of the next correct dNTP (39- to 130-fold decrease, Table 5), while the absence of both hydrogen bonds very severely hindered polymerization (a 34 000-fold decrease upon converting adenine into benzimidazole, Table 5). The loss of N-3 and the associated electron density in the minor groove also moderately impaired polymerization of the next correct dNTP (12- to 25-fold decrease, Table 5). Similarly, when the template base was C, the polymerase incorporated the next dNTP 6-fold less efficiently than after incorporating 3-deaza-dGTP as compared to dGTP (Table 7).

The identity of the group at C-6 of purines, not just whether or not it can form a hydrogen bond with T, also affected polymerization of the next correct dNTP. Whereas the polymerase tolerated a hydrogen (i.e., purine) or methyl (i.e., 6-methylpurine) equally well at C-6, the presence of an electron-withdrawing chlorine further decreased addition of the next correct dNTP by 6- to 9-fold (Table 5).

We examined the effects of increasing the number of hydrogen bonds involving the base pair at the primer 3'-terminus by comparing the rates of addition of the next correct dNTP onto X:T base pairs where X either contained or lacked N². In the three cases examined—adenine versus 2-aminoadenine, purine versus 2-aminopurine, and 6-chloropurine versus 2-amino-6-chloropurine—adding an additional hydrogen bonding group had relatively modest effects. In the case of the two unnatural bases, the presence of N² increased the efficiency of adding the next dNTP by around 2-fold, while adding N² to an A:T base pair impaired polymerization of the next correct dNTP by 8-fold (Table 5).

The identity of the base at the primer 3'-terminus affected not only polymerization of the next correct dNTP ($n + 1$ addition) but also addition of the second dNTP ($n + 2$ addition). The absence of a single Watson-Crick hydrogen bond in the base pair (loss of N-1 or N⁶) at the primer terminus still inhibited addition of the second dNTP (by 10- to 64-fold, Table 6). Just as it typically hindered polymerization of the next correct dNTP, adding N² to either purine or adenine to form an extra hydrogen bond hindered $n + 2$ incorporation (2- to 9-fold, Table 6). In contrast, the absence of N-3 greatly decreased the efficiency of polymerization of the second dNTP, much more so than addition of the first dNTP (by 450- to 930-fold, Table 6). Similarly, $n + 2$

³ Addition of the next correct dNTP was measured on DNA_T (Table 1) and addition of the second correct dNTP was measured on DNA_{T2} (Table 1), where the third template base from the primer was converted from a T to a G, to avoid multiple incorporation events of the purine analogue.

Table 6: Insertion of the Second Correct dNTP on DNA_{T2} by Herpes Polymerase (dCTP Insertion Following Polymerization of Analogue X and T)

X in 5'-primer-X-T-3'	V_{\max} (SD)	K_m (SD)	V_{\max}/K_m	discrimination
adenine	1.43 (0.04)	1.0 (0.1)	1.4	1
purine	1.32 (0.05)	9.5 (2.2)	0.14	10
3-deazapurine	0.46 (0.05)	1500 (300)	0.00031	4500
3-deazaadenine	0.76 (0.09)	500 (100)	0.0015	930
1-deazaadenine	0.44 (0.03)	20 (5)	0.022	64
6-methylpurine	1.9 (0.1)	16 (4)	0.12	12
2-aminopurine	0.36 (0.01)	8.1 (1.3)	0.044	32
2-aminoadenine	0.39 (0.01)	2.5 (0.5)	0.16	8.8

Table 7: Insertion of the First and Second Correct dNTP on DNA_C by Herpes Polymerase

X	V_{\max} (SD)	K_m (SD)	V_{\max}/K_m	discrimination
5'-primer-X-3'				
guanine	4.9 (0.1)	14 (2)	0.35	1
3-deazaguanine	11.0 (0.2)	190 (10)	0.058	6
5'-primer-X-T-3'				
guanine	1.91 (0.06)	2.7 (0.3)	0.71	1
3-deazaguanine	0.27 (0.03)	440 (140)	0.00061	1200

incorporation after incorporation of 3-deaza-dGTP on DNA_C was 1 200-fold less efficient than after incorporation of dGTP (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

We investigated the chemical features of the base that herpes DNA polymerase uses to distinguish between right and wrong purine dNTPs. N-1, N-3, and N⁶ play distinct yet complementary roles to ensure accurate polymerization of purine dNTPs by both enhancing polymerization of correct dNTPs (i.e., as positive selectors) and reducing polymerization of wrong dNTPs (i.e., as negative selectors). These functional groups and their ability to interact with the template base also affect polymerization of at least the next two dNTPs.

Herpes polymerase, as well as other B family polymerases such as pol α and T4 DNA polymerase, do not use the shape of the incipient base pair formed between the incoming dNTP and the template base as a major determinant to prevent misincorporation. Both herpes polymerase and pol α can incorporate dNTPs bearing various misshapen bases (e.g., benzimidazole and 5- and 6-trifluoromethylbenzimidazole) orders of magnitude faster than they misincorporate a natural dNTP (12, 20). Likewise, T4 DNA polymerase and pol α both incorporate misshapen dNTPs such as 5-nitroindole dNTP opposite natural template bases at rates that approach those for a natural, correct dNTP (11, 26). Accommodation of these modified bases opposite template purines also indicates that the active site is likely very flexible and does not form a tight fit around the incipient base pair. Furthermore, while pol α and T4 DNA polymerase both generate A:difluorotoluene base pairs with at least modest selectivity, the reactions are much less efficient than formation of a canonical base pair (27, 28).

N⁶ affects both correct and incorrect polymerization, although in each case the effects are relatively small (Figure 5). These effects likely reflect the chemical properties of N⁶ (e.g., hydrogen bonding capacity), since neither a methyl




FIGURE 7: Potential structures for the 2-aminopurine: cytosine and 1-deaza-2-aminopurine base pair via (A) just a H-bond between N² of the purine and O⁴ of C, (B) formation of a wobble base pair, and (C) protonation of the base pair.

group nor a Cl had a similar effect. Furthermore, within the context of purine and 2-aminopurine, adding Cl to C-6 both decreased incorporation opposite T and increased incorporation opposite C. These effects of Cl are consistent with the hydrogen bonding capacity of N-1 playing a role both for enhancing correct polymerization and preventing incorrect incorporation. The electron-withdrawing Cl likely reduces the electron density at N-1, thus interfering with the ability of N-1 to form a hydrogen bond with N(H)-3 of T and reducing the electronic repulsion between the purine N-1 and the electron-rich N-3 of C. Analogously, the addition of a halogen (chlorine or fluorine) to C-2 of adenine reduces hydrogen bonding to thymine, likely as a result of a reduced pK_a of N-1 (29).

N-1 of a purine dNTP plays a critical role to ensure accurate replication. In every case examined, adding N-1 dramatically increased polymerization opposite T, up to factors of 1100 and 1900 in the cases of 1-deazaadenine dNTP and 1-deazapurine dNTP, respectively (Figure 4). Since the hybridization state of N-1 is appropriate for forming a Watson–Crick hydrogen bond with N(H)-3 of T, these data suggest that formation of this hydrogen bond enhances correct polymerization. Energetically, this hydrogen bond appears to contribute >4 kcal·mol⁻¹. However, the actual strength of the bond is probably weaker than this since replacing the electron rich N-1 with a relatively electron deficient C–H may now result in a repulsive interaction with N(H)-3 of T. Although not quite as large as the effects of adding N-3, adding N-1 also decreases misincorporation of the resulting dNTPs opposite A, C, and G.

While adding N² to purine dNTPs had relatively small effects on polymerization opposite T, this same modification significantly and specifically increased incorporation opposite C (3.3- to 55-fold, Table 4 and Figure 6). Enhanced incorporation opposite C likely results from formation of a new hydrogen bond between N² of the aminated purine and O² of cytosine. While structural studies have posited that protonation or tautomerization of the aminated purine enhances misincorporation opposite C (Figure 7 and refs 31,

32), this possibility is not feasible in the case of 2-amino-1-deazapurine because of the absence of N-1. Rather, the simplest mechanism consistent with all of the purine dNTP analogues is formation of a new hydrogen bond involving N² of the purine and O² of cytosine. Importantly, this mechanism would be identical to that observed for pol α , another B family polymerase (21).

While N² of guanine plays a minimal role during formation of G:C base pairs, it has a key role in fidelity. Removing N² from guanine nucleotides, in either the context of the dNTP or the template base, specifically promoted formation of hypoxanthine–adenine mismatches. Herpes polymerase incorporated dTTP 170-fold more efficiently than dGTP opposite A (Tables 2 and 4) and polymerized dATP 80-fold more efficiently opposite I than opposite G (data not shown). Thus, herpes polymerase clearly uses N² of guanine to specifically prevent formation of a hypoxanthine–adenine base pair.

The highly conserved Tyr818 may help mediate the effects of both N² and N-3. Both N² and N-3 lay in the minor groove of the base pair formed by the incoming dNTP and template base. On the basis of the structure of the closed E-DNA-dNTP ternary complex determined for RB69 polymerase, the electron-deficient edge of the herpes Tyr818 phenol (the equivalent of Tyr567 in RB69 polymerase) will be near these nitrogens (33). As such, replacing the electron rich N-3 with an electron deficient CH will alter the electronic environment of Tyr818. Likewise, the presence or absence of N² will also alter this environment. Importantly, mutating the equivalent Tyr567 of RB69 polymerase to Ala compromises fidelity with only small effects on correct incorporation of dNTPs (34). These qualitatively similar effects of mutating either N² or N-3 of the purine dNTP or Tyr567 suggest that they may interact mechanistically.

Both herpes polymerase and pol α are members of the B superfamily of polymerases and share tremendous homology between their active sites (30). The P helix surrounds the incipient base pair between the incoming dNTP and the template base being replicated, and the only difference between these enzymes is replacement of the leucine (pol α) that resides in the major groove of the incipient base pair with valine (herpes polymerase) (20). Not surprisingly, therefore, both polymerases use similar general mechanisms involving both positive and negative selectivity to distinguish right from wrong dNTPs. Adding N² to adenine and related purines or removing N² from guanine has similar effects with both enzymes: increased polymerization opposite C in the former and increased misincorporation opposite A in the latter (21). Likewise, N-3 performs the identical role in both enzymes. Neither enzyme required N-3 for efficient, correct polymerization of purine dNTPs. Adding N-3 to low fidelity bases prevented misincorporation by both polymerases (7- to 30-fold for the herpes pol and 5- to 40-fold for pol α), whereas removing N-3 from high fidelity bases increased misincorporation by both polymerases (Table 4, Figure 3, and ref 20). With both enzymes, removing N-3 from adenine had much less effect than removing N-3 from guanine, and the effects were very mismatch dependent.

Notably, the enzymes also differ in several important respects. Herpes polymerase discriminates much more effectively against dNTPs whose bases lack N-1, N-3, and N⁶ than does pol α . In the case of benzimidazole and 4-trifluorobenzimidazole, herpes

polymerase discriminates only 1.7- and 4.4-fold more strongly, respectively, than does pol α . However, herpes polymerase discriminates 10- to 19-fold more strongly against 5-, 6-, and 7-trifluoromethylbenzimidazole, as well as 4-methylbenzimidazole dNTPs, respectively (12, 20). Additionally, N-1 and N⁶ also have somewhat different roles for the two enzymes. Pol α uses N⁶ solely to enhance polymerization opposite T, by a factor of 8–34 (20), while herpes polymerase uses N⁶ both to prevent misincorporation of dATP and to only slightly enhance polymerization opposite T (2- to 6-fold). While both enzymes employ N-1 to prevent misincorporation opposite A, C, and G, the effects on correct polymerization opposite T are significantly different. Adding N-1 to dNTPs containing the bases benzimidazole, 1-deazapurine, 1-deaza-6-methylpurine, and 1-deazaadenine stimulated polymerization opposite T by both enzymes, but the effects on herpes polymerase were 3.1-, 25-, 41-, and 55-fold larger, respectively, than on pol α (ref 20 and *vide infra*).

On the basis of these data for herpes polymerase and pol α in conjunction with the substantial amino acid homology between B superfamily polymerases (30), we anticipate that all of the B family enzymes differentiate right and wrong dNTPs via similar general mechanisms. However, there will likely be substantial variability in how the enzymes use specific atoms of the bases of the dNTP and, we suspect, the template base. In the case of pol α and herpes polymerase, these differences could be driven by leucine–valine replacement or be the much larger differences in amino acids that surround the P helix (35).

Eliminating even one hydrogen bond from the base pair at the primer 3'-terminus significantly decreased the rate of addition of the next correct dNTP. Adding an additional, unnatural, hydrogen bond involving N² either inhibited polymerization of the next correct dNTP (i.e., 2-aminoadenine:T) or slightly stimulated polymerization (i.e., 2-aminopurine:T and 6-chloro-2-aminopurine:T). Since these changes in hydrogen bonding likely affect the shape of the base pair at the primer 3'-terminus, herpes polymerase probably has an exquisite sensitivity to the shape of the primer 3'-terminus when deciding how efficiently to polymerize the next correct dNTP. Functionally, the ability of herpes polymerase to so readily differentiate between a correct and an incorrect base pair would allow the enzyme's associated 3'–5' exonuclease to remove just added, incorrect nucleotides.

Effects of an unusual base pair at a primer 3'-terminus extend to at least the next two polymerization events. Potentially, herpes polymerase could sense even minor structural changes two base pairs removed from the replication site. The sensor would have to be extremely sensitive since even base pairs that should have a normal structure (i.e., purine:T, 2-aminoadenine:T, etc.) affect latter polymerization events. Alternatively, the enzyme could sense unusual π -electron distributions in the template or primer bases. Since the bases in duplex DNA form an extended π -electron cloud (36), changes in electronic distribution at one position will be transmitted to neighboring positions. Furthermore, the amino acids that interact with the planar faces and associated π -electron clouds of both the template base being replicated and the base of the incoming dNTP are extremely conserved among B family polymerases (20, 35, 37), suggesting that they are doing more than just "filling space" by interacting with these bases.

Herpes polymerase appears to use two orthogonal screens to replicate DNA with high fidelity. During incorporation, shape appears to play a minimal role, as evidenced by the rapid polymerization of dNTPs containing bases such as benzimidazole, 5-trifluorobenzimidazole, and 6-trifluorobenzimidazole. Rather, the enzyme uses specific chemical features of the bases N-1, N-3, and to a lesser extent N² (in the case of guanine) and N⁶ to prevent misincorporation. In the presence of these “negative selectors”, herpes polymerase then uses the formation of Watson–Crick hydrogen bonds to promote correct incorporation. In contrast to the low importance of shape for determining whether or not to incorporate a dNTP, the shape of the base pair at the primer terminus appears to play a critical role in the addition of subsequent dNTPs.

REFERENCES

- Lehman, I. R., and Boehmer, P. E. (1999) Replication of herpes simplex virus DNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 28059–28062.
- Boehmer, P. E., and Lehman, I. R. (1997) Herpes simplex virus DNA replication. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 66, 347–384.
- Johnson, P. A., Best, M. G., Friedmann, T., and Parris, D. S. (1991) Isolation of a herpes simplex virus type 1 mutant deleted for the essential UL42 gene and characterization of its null phenotype. *J. Virol.* 65, 700–710.
- Stow, N. D. (1992) Herpes simplex virus type 1 origin-dependent DNA replication in insect cells using recombinant baculoviruses. *J. Gen. Virol.* 73, 313–321.
- Wu, C. A., Nelson, N. J., McGeoch, D. J., and Challberg, M. D. (1988) Identification of herpes simplex virus type 1 genes required for origin-dependent DNA synthesis. *J. Virol.* 62, 435–443.
- Roberts, J. D., and Kunkel, T. A. (1996) in *DNA Replication in Eukaryotic Cells: Concepts, Enzymes and Systems* (Depamphilis, M. L., Ed.) pp 217–247, CSHL Press, Plainview, NY.
- Kunkel, T. A., and Bebenek, K. (1988) Recent studies of the fidelity of DNA synthesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 951, 1–15.
- Bebenek, K., Abbotts, J., Wilson, S. H., and Kunkel, T. A. (1993) Error-prone polymerization by HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. Contribution of template-primer misalignment, miscoding, and termination probability to mutational hot spots. *J. Biol. Chem.* 268, 10324–10334.
- Chaudhuri, M., Song, L., and Parris, D. S. (2003) The herpes simplex virus type 1 DNA polymerase processivity factor increases fidelity without altering pre-steady-state rate constants for polymerization or excision. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 8996–9004.
- Song, L., Chaudhuri, M., Knopf, C. W., and Parris, D. S. (2004) Contribution of the 3′- to 5′-exonuclease activity of herpes simplex virus type 1 DNA polymerase to the fidelity of DNA synthesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 18535–18543.
- Chiaromonte, M., Moore, C. L., Kincaid, K., and Kuchta, R. D. (2003) Facile polymerization of dNTPs bearing unnatural base analogues by DNA polymerase alpha and Klenow fragment (DNA polymerase I). *Biochemistry* 42, 10472–10481.
- Kincaid, K., Beckman, J., Zivkovic, A., Halcomb, R. L., Engels, J. W., and Kuchta, R. D. (2005) Exploration of factors driving incorporation of unnatural dNTPs into DNA by Klenow fragment (DNA polymerase I) and DNA polymerase alpha. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, 2620–2628.
- Beard, W. A., and Wilson, S. H. (2000) Structural design of a eukaryotic DNA repair polymerase: DNA polymerase beta. *Mutat. Res.* 460, 231–244.
- Minnick, D. T., Liu, L., Grindley, N. D., Kunkel, T. A., and Joyce, C. M. (2002) Discrimination against purine-pyrimidine mispairs in the polymerase active site of DNA polymerase I: a structural explanation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 1194–1199.
- Joyce, C. M., and Benkovic, S. J. (2004) DNA polymerase fidelity: kinetics, structure, and checkpoints. *Biochemistry* 43, 14317–14324.
- Echols, H., and Goodman, M. F. (1991) Fidelity mechanisms in DNA replication. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 60, 477–511.
- Knopf, C. W. (1998) Evolution of viral DNA-dependent DNA polymerases. *Virus Genes* 16, 47–58.
- Wong, S. W., Wahl, A. F., Yuan, P. M., Arai, N., Pearson, B. E., Arai, K., Korn, D., Hunkapiller, M. W., and Wang, T. S. (1988) Human DNA polymerase alpha gene expression is cell proliferation dependent and its primary structure is similar to both prokaryotic and eukaryotic replicative DNA polymerases. *EMBO J.* 7, 37–47.
- Hopfer, K. P., Eichinger, A., Engle, R. A., Laue, F., Ankenbauer, W., Huber, R., and Angerer, B. (1999) Crystal structure of a thermostable type B DNA polymerase from *Thermococcus gorgonarius*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 96, 3600–3605.
- Beckman, J., Kincaid, K., Hocek, M., Spratt, T., Engels, J., Cosstick, R., and Kuchta, R. D. (2007) Human DNA polymerase alpha uses a combination of positive and negative selectivity to polymerize purine dNTPs with high fidelity. *Biochemistry* 46, 448–460.
- Patro, J. N., Milan, U., and Kuchta, R. D. (2009) Role of the 2-amino group of purines during dNTP polymerization by human DNA polymerase alpha. *Biochemistry* 48, 180–198.
- Cavanaugh, N. A., and Kuchta, R. D. (2009) Initiation of new DNA strands by the herpes simplex virus-1 primase-helicase complex and either herpes DNA polymerase or human DNA polymerase alpha. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 1523–1532.
- Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E. F., and Sambrook, J. (1982) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Woodbury, NY.
- Kuchta, R. D., Mizrahi, V., Benkovic, P. A., Johnson, K. A., and Benkovic, S. J. (1987) Kinetic mechanism of DNA polymerase I (Klenow). *Biochemistry* 26, 8410–8417.
- Goodman, M. F., Creighton, S., Bloom, L. B., and Petruska, J. (1993) Biochemical basis of DNA replication fidelity. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 28, 83–126.
- Reineks, E. Z., and Berdis, A. J. (2004) Evaluating the contribution of base stacking during translesion DNA replication. *Biochemistry* 43, 393–404.
- Hariharan, C., Bloom, L. B., Helquist, S. A., Kool, E. T., and Rehakant, L. J. (2006) Dynamics of nucleotide incorporation: snapshots revealed by 2-aminopurine fluorescence studies. *Biochemistry* 45, 2836–2844.
- Morales, J. C., and Kool, E. T. (2000) Varied molecular interactions at the active sites of several DNA polymerases: nonpolar nucleoside isosteres as probes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 122, 1001–1007.
- Moore, C. L., Zivkovic, A., Engels, J. W., and Kuchta, R. D. (2004) Human DNA primase uses Watson–Crick hydrogen bonds to distinguish between correct and incorrect nucleoside triphosphates. *Biochemistry* 43, 12367–12374.
- Zhang, H., Cao, W., Zakharova, E., Konigsberg, W., and De La Cruz, E. M. (2007) Fluorescence of 2-aminopurine reveals rapid conformational changes in the RB69 DNA polymerase-primer/template complexes upon binding and incorporation of matched deoxynucleoside triphosphates. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, 6052–6062.
- Sowers, L. C., Boulard, Y., and Fazakerley, G. V. (2000) Multiple structures for the 2-aminopurine-cytosine mispair. *Biochemistry* 39, 7613–7620.
- Sowers, L. C., Fazakerley, G. V., Eritja, R., Kaplan, B. E., and Goodman, M. F. (1986) Base pairing and mutagenesis: observation of a protonated base pair between 2-aminopurine and cytosine in an oligonucleotide by proton NMR. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 83, 5434–5438.
- Franklin, M. C., Wang, J., and Steitz, T. A. (2001) Structure of the replicating complex of a pol alpha family DNA polymerase. *Cell (Cambridge, MA)* 105, 657–667.
- Yang, G., Wang, J., and Konigsberg, W. (2005) Base selectivity is impaired by mutants that perturb hydrogen bonding networks in the RB69 DNA polymerase active site. *Biochemistry* 44, 3338–3346.
- Wang, T. S., Wong, S. W., and Korn, D. (1989) Human DNA polymerase alpha: predicted functional domains and relationships with viral DNA polymerases. *FASEB J.* 3, 14–21.
- Kelley, S. O., and Barton, J. K. (1999) Electron transfer between bases in double helical DNA. *Science* 283, 375–381.
- Liu, S., Knafels, J. D., Chang, J. S., Waszak, G. A., Baldwin, E. T., Deibel, M. R., Jr., Thomsen, D. R., Homa, F. L., Wells, P. A., Tory, M. C., Poorman, R. A., Gao, H., Qiu, X., and Seddon, A. P. (2006) Crystal structure of the herpes simplex virus 1 DNA polymerase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 18193–18200.

BI8022202